

1 **QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP**

2 Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
3 dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
4 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
5 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
6 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
7 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

6 Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
7 stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
8 Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
9 violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
10 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
11 Los Angeles, CA 90017
12 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
13 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Josef Ansorge (admitted *pro hac vice*)
josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
1300 I. Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202-538-8000
Facsimile: 202-538-8100

11 Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
12 jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
13 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
14 San Francisco, CA 94111
15 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
16 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

15 *Counsel for Defendant Google LLC*

16
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

19 CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
20 JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
21 CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,
22 individually and on behalf of all similarly
situated,

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

23 Plaintiffs,

**DECLARATION OF JONATHAN TSE IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER PORTIONS OF
RESPONSE TO GOOGLE'S MOTION
RE: LORRAINE TWOHILL
DEPOSITION SHOULD BE SEALED**

24 v.

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

25 GOOGLE LLC,

26 Defendant.

1 I, Jonathan Tse, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and an attorney at Quinn Emanuel
 3 Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Google LLC (“Google”) in this action. I make
 4 this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I
 5 could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. I am making this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)-(f) as an attorney
 7 for Google as the Designating Party, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in response to Dkt.
 8 412.

9 3. On February 21, 2022, Plaintiffs filed its Administrative Motion to Consider
 10 Whether Portions of Response to Google’s Motion Re: Lorraine Twohill Deposition Should be
 11 Sealed (Dkt. 412). On February 21, 2022, I received an unredacted service copy of these documents.

12 4. I have reviewed the document that Plaintiffs seek to file under seal pursuant to Civil
 13 Local Rule 79-5, unredacted versions of which have been filed at Docket Entry 412. Based on my
 14 review, there is good cause to seal the following information:

Document	Basis for Sealing
Plaintiffs’ Response to Motion for Relief from Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge Re: Apex Deposition of Lorraine Twohill at: 2:20 Google joins Plaintiffs’ motion to seal in part with respect to this document.	The information requested to be sealed contains Google’s highly confidential and proprietary information, regarding highly sensitive features of Google’s internal systems and operations, including details related to an internal project, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google’s competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google’s internal strategies, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. <i>See</i> Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google’s competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cyber security threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google’s internal practices relating to competing products.

27 Google’s request is narrowly tailored in order to protect its confidential information. These
 28 redactions are limited in scope and volume. Because the proposed redactions are narrowly tailored

1 and limited to portions containing Google's highly-confidential or confidential information, Google
2 requests that the portions of the aforementioned documents be redacted from any public version of
3 those documents.

4 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true
5 and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California on February 28, 2022.

6

7 DATED: February 28, 2022

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
8 SULLIVAN, LLP

9

10 By /s/ Jonathan Tse
11 Jonathan Tse
12 Attorney for Defendant

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28